



Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School
Tiered Focused Monitoring Report
Continuous Improvement and Monitoring Plan
For Group B Universal Standards
Tier Level 1

Dates of Onsite Visit: November 30-December 1, 2022

Date of Final Report: January 27, 2023

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Team Members:
Henry Ndakalu, Chairperson
Talia Buonopane



Jeffrey C. Riley
Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education

**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING REPORT**

Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School

TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING REPORT INTRODUCTION3
TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING FINAL REPORT6
DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS6
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS.....9

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING REPORT INTRODUCTION

During the 2022-2023 school year, the Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School participated in a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review (TFM) conducted by the Department's Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM). The purpose of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review is to monitor compliance with regulatory requirements focusing on special education and civil rights.

Each school district, charter school, vocational school, and virtual school undergoes a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review every three years. The statewide Tiered Focused Monitoring cycle is posted at <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/6yrcycle.html>.

Regularly monitored standards are divided into two groups, known as Group A Universal Standards and Group B Universal Standards. Districts and schools are monitored on an alternate set of Universal Standards every three years.

Group A Universal Standards address:

- Student identification
- IEP development
- Programming and support services
- Equal opportunity

Group B Universal Standards address:

- Licensure and professional development
- Parent/student/community engagement
- Facilities and classroom observations
- Oversight
- Time and learning
- Equal access

The Department has also reserved a specific set of criteria, collectively known as Targeted Standards, employed if LEA or school level risk assessment data indicate there is a potential issue; the identified Targeted Standards are assessed in addition to the Universal Standards.

Universal Standards and Targeted Standards are aligned with the following regulations:

Special Education (SE)

- Selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education's Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

- Selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA); Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
- Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education's Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).

- Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
- Various requirements under other federal and state laws.

PSM Team:

Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of special education programs to be reviewed, a team of one to four Department staff members conducts onsite activities over one to five days in a school district or charter school.

Tier Level:

The level of monitoring varies based on tier designation, aligning supports to the level of need and ensuring that districts and schools with greater needs receive appropriate supports to make sustained improvements.

Tier	Title	Description
1	Self-Directed Improvement	Data points indicate no concern on compliance and student outcomes.
2	Directed Improvement	No demonstrated risk in areas with close link to student outcomes.
3	Corrective Action	Areas of concern include both compliance and student outcomes.
4	Cross-unit Support and Corrective Action	Areas of concern have a profound effect on student outcomes and ongoing compliance.

For the 2022-2023 school year, the tier assignments are based on:

- Annual drop-out rate for students with disabilities
- Five-year cohort graduation rate for students with disabilities
- Public School Monitoring compliance data from the previous review
- Problem Resolution System data, specifically findings of noncompliance
- Special education SPP/APR compliance Indicator data for Indicators 4B, 9 & 10
 - Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity in removal of students with IEPs greater than 10 days
 - Indicator 9: Overall disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups identified as eligible for special education
 - Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups within specific disability categories
- Special education SPP/APR performance Indicator data for Indicators 5 & 6
 - Indicator 5: Education Environments (6-21)
 - Indicator 6: Preschool Environments
- Significant Disproportionality data 2021-2022 & 2022-2023

Tiering adjustments may be made for districts engaged in work with the Department's Statewide System of Support and have schools identified as requiring assistance and intervention. Tiering assignments may also be adjusted for schools and districts unable to remedy noncompliance within one year of the previous TFM review, as well as for charter schools requiring additional oversight based on conditions of their charter.

Report: For Tier 1 & 2 Tiered Focused Monitoring Reviews

Following the onsite visit, the PSM team holds an informal exit meeting to summarize the review for the superintendent or charter school leader. Within approximately 20 business days of the onsite visit, the chairperson forwards the TFM Feedback Summary that includes findings from the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review to the superintendent or charter school leader.

As part of the reporting process, all districts/charter schools in Tiers 1 and 2, then develop a Continuous Improvement and Monitoring Plan (CIMP) for any criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," and "Implementation in Progress." The CIMP is due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the Feedback Summary and is subject to the Department's review and approval.

The CIMP outlines an action plan, identifies the success metric, describes the measurement mechanism and provides a completion timeframe to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. Department staff provide support and assistance to districts and charter schools on the development of a CIMP.

Once the CIMP is approved, it is issued as the Final Report.

Department staff also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved CIMP or CAP. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department's Feedback Summary.**

For more information regarding the TFM Review Process, including district and parent resources, please visit < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/default.html>>.

TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING FINAL REPORT Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education conducted a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review at the Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School during the week of November 28, 2022, to evaluate the implementation of Group B Universal Standards in the program areas of special education, civil rights, and other related general education requirements. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities, and to review the programs underway in the school.

In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the school's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

Self-Assessment Phase:

- School review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads.
- Upon completion of the self-assessment, the school submitted the data to the Department for review.

On-site Verification Phase:

- Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
- Interview of a parent advisory council (PAC) representative.
- Review of additional documents for special education and civil rights.
- Surveys of parents of students in special education: Parents of students in special education were sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the school's implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
- Observations of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visited a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The Tiered Focused Monitoring Report includes those criteria that were found by the team to be implemented in a "Commendable" manner, as well as criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," and "Implementation in Progress." (Refer to the "Definition of Compliance Ratings" section of the report.) The Tiered Focused Monitoring Reports do not include criteria receiving a rating of "Implemented" or "Not Applicable." This will allow the district/school and the Department to focus their efforts on those areas requiring corrective action. Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective actions into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans.

DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS

Template Version 110122

Commendable	Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation.
Implemented	The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects.
Implementation in Progress	This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements; the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.
Partially Implemented	The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met.
Not Implemented	The requirement is totally or substantially not met.
Not Applicable	The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school.

Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS

	Universal Standards Special Education	Universal Standards Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements
IMPLEMENTED	SE 15, SE 32, SE 35, SE 36, SE 50, SE 51, SE 52, SE 54, SE 55, SE 56	CR 3, CR 7, CR 7A, CR 7B, CR 8, CR 10A, CR 10B, CR 12A, CR 17A, CR 20, CR 21, CR 22, CR 23, CR 24, CR 25
PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED		CR 10C
NOT IMPLEMENTED		
NOT APPLICABLE	SE 52A	CR 7C, CR 16

The full list of criteria and information regarding the requirements for each can be found in Appendix B of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Toolkit available at < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/tfm-toolkit.docx>>.

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND MONITORING PLAN

Improvement Area 1
Criterion: CR 10C - Student Discipline
Rating: Partially Implemented
Description of Current Issue: A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the charter school's student discipline policies and procedures do not include the required procedures for emergency removal under 603 CMR 53.07.
LEA Outcome: Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School will update the student discipline procedures to include emergency removal procedures that meet the requirements under 603 CMR 53:07. In addition, relevant staff and administrators will receive training on the updated student discipline procedures, and student handbooks will be updated and disseminated to students and families.
Action Plan: By March 15, 2023, Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School will develop emergency removal procedures that are consistent with requirements under 603 CMR 53.07. By April 14, 2023, Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School will update the student handbooks to include emergency removal procedures, conduct training for all administrators and applicable staff, and disseminate updated handbooks to students and families.
Success Metric: By April 2023 and beyond, Cape Cod Lighthouse Charter School's discipline policies and procedures will meet the regulatory requirements under 603 CMR 53.00, and specifically include procedures for emergency removal. Staff will be trained on the new procedures and student handbooks will be updated and disseminated. Evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Emergency removal procedures• Training materials and attendance sheets• Updated student handbooks• Evidence of dissemination
Measurement Mechanism: Continuing after the completion deadline, the Executive Director will ensure that student discipline procedures are reviewed and updated annually for consistency with the current regulations and staff training is provided on the updates. Additionally, student handbooks will be updated with the current procedures and disseminated to students and families.
Completion Timeframe: 04/14/2023